# **EXHIBIT 2**

Qase 7:17-cv-08943-CS-JCM Document 574-2 Filed 05/30/20 Page 2 0 1 8

## Qase 7:17-cv-08943-CS-JCM Document 574-2 Filed 05/30/20 Page 3 № 8

### ALFORD - CROSS BY MS. CALABRESE

- 1 Texas in that case?
- 2 A. Yes, I was.
- 3 Q. Texas was challenging the federal government's oversight
- 4 of Texas congressional maps under Section 5 of the Voting
- 5 Rights Act?
- 6 A. That's not my recollection, but it was a Section 5.
- 7 I think Texas was exercising its right to have that decision made
- 8 In a district court rather than by administrative procedure is
- 9 my recollection.
- 10 Q. Let's look at your trial testimony on page 27, line 16 to
- 11 page 28, line 8; and I have one question.
- 12 Were you asked this question, and did you give this answer:
- 13 "Question: But we don't know how much of these percentages you
- 14 provided actually might vary because you have not provided us
- 15 with a margin of error confidence intervals; is that correct?"
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. You answered, "Yes."
- 18 "And again, as I explained to you, if you are concerned with
- 19 how confident you are about a single result that could be
- 20 helpful, if you look across the set of eight primaries, and you
- 21 see exactly the same results in every primary, it really
- 22 doesn't matter what the individual confidence values are. The
- 23 confidence intervals say if this is done randomly, then we
- 24 shouldn't look at one result and be too confident; but if you
- 25 see the same result seven out of eight times, that's really a

## Qase 7:17-cv-08943-CS-JCM Document 574-2 Filed 05/30/20 Page 4 6 8

#### ALFORD - REDIRECT BY MR. LEVINE

different confidence interval. Random variation doesn't 1 2 produce the same result eight out of ten times. You asked me 3 why I was confident without knowing the confidence intervals, and that's why. Because we are not looking at a single -- look 5 at all of the districts, right? It doesn't matter where we go 6 in the state." 7 Were you asked that question and did you give that 8 answer? 9 Α. Yes. MR. LEVINE: Objection. I am not seeing the 10 inconsistency. 11 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 MS. CALABRESE: Thank you. No further questions, 14 Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 16 BY MR. LEVINE: Dr. Alford, I just want you to turn in your binder to the 17 binder that says Examination by the District and Tab 22? 18 Α. 19 Yes. 20 When you created this demonstrative with Goldmunzers, 21 wasn't the object to create a demonstrative that has the 22 Goldmunzers that live at the same address? 23 MS. CALABRESE: Objection. Leading, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: I will allow it. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. So this would be the subset of

DARBY GINSBERG, RPR (914) 390-4102

## Qase 7:17-cv-08943-CS-JCM Document 574-2 Filed 05/30/20 Page ₺ ₺ ₺

#### ALFORD - REDIRECT BY MR. LEVINE

- Goldmunzers where there are at least two Goldmunzers at the
- 2 same address.
- 3 BY MR. LEVINE:
- 4 Q. Okay. Dr. Alford, you reviewed the trial transcript of
- 5 Dr. Barreto's direct and cross-examinations, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I am just going to ask to bring it up the trial transcript
- 8 page 465, lines 9 through 20. Actually, could we make it all
- 9 the way up to 9? Did you review this portion of Dr. Barreto's
- 10 transcript?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you understand him to be talking about the Catalist
- 13 data sheet?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15  $\mathbb{I}_{Q}$ . Do you understand him to be saying that the race score
- 16 that gets generated using that -- for that issue is based only
- 17 on two pieces of information?
- 18 MS. CALABRESE: Objection, Your Honor. We believe
- 19 the trial testimony speaks for itself.
- 20 MR. LEVINE: I am asking for --
- 21 THE COURT: Well, the question is -- you asked the
- 22 | witness about what he read in Dr. Ghitza's deposition. I will
- 23 let Mr. Levine ask what he read in Dr. Barreto's deposition.
- 24 BY MR. LEVINE:
- 25 Q. Do you understand Dr. Barreto to be saying that the

DARBY GINSBERG, RPR (914) 390-4102

```
addressed by looking at the transcript.
 1
 2
               But, you know, they don't have to give you their Q
 3
     and A ahead of time.
 4
               MR. MANGAS: Thank you, your Honor.
 5
               One other question.
 6
               THE COURT: Are we not -- what are we doing now? We
 7
     doing Ms. Charles-Pierre Tuesday, and Dr. Barreto on Thursday?
 8
               MR. BUTLER: That really would be a huge burden on us
 9
     to have to come back for Tuesday and then wait until Thursday.
10
     We need to end this trial.
               THE COURT: Oh, you guys told me it was going to be
11
     two weeks, now we're working on our fourth, so --
12
13
               MR. BUTLER: Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Nobody would dispute it's burdensome.
15
               MR. BUTLER: If Tuesday is the day we're going
16
     forward with Ms. Charles-Pierre, that's the end day we should
17
     end the trial, and if Dr. Barreto --
18
               THE COURT: Overruled. I'm going to let him come
19
     back on Thursday, my question is can we do him and
20
     Ms. Charles-Pierre on Thursday so none of you have to come back
     twice or is that going to be cutting it too close?
21
22
               MR. LEVINE: Is Dr. Barreto going to testify in Court
23
     or via the internet? That's what my question is.
24
               MR. BUTLER: He's got to be here, your Honor.
25
               MR. MANGAS: Can we work with Mr. Clark to figure out
```

ANGELA A. O'DONNELL, RPR (914)390-4025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOU	
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION for the	
ADVANCEMENT of COLORED PEOI SPRING VALLEY BRANCH, et al	•
Plaintiffs,	
v.	17 Civ. 8943(CS)
	TRIAL
EAST RAMAPO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, et al.,	
Defendants.	
	x
	United States Courthough White Plains, N.Y.
	March 24, 2020
THE HONORABI	LE CATHY SEIBEL,
	United States District Judge

## 

Summations (Ms. Calabrese)

has been no one to uphold the law and protect the minority
community. This Court can change that. Plaintiffs have proven
through its expert that the white block always outvotes
minority preferred candidates. Plaintiffs have proven that
when you look at the reality on the ground in the district,
that reality is that the political process is not open to
minorities.

This Court has the evidence it needs to do what the minority community has been unable to do despite years of advocacies, and that is to give minorities a permanent voice on the board. This is the only way in which to level the playing field so that people in the minority community feel as though they're represented in matters dealing with their children's education. Because at the end of the day, it's more than just education, it's about racial equality in the district.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. And I'm sorry it was such a long time day.

Thank you, Angela, you're the workhorse here.

All right, you'll hear from me as soon as I reach a decision. If per chance the election gets postponed, let me know, but as of now I'm assuming it's May 19.

MS. CALABRESE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, I'm going to click off because I've got some things I have to do before my class in five

ANGELA A. O'DONNELL (914)390-4025